

Sex determination of adult human tibia in central Indian population

*¹ Dr. Neha Rai, ² Dr. Sheema Nair, ³ Dr. Vishal Bankwar, ⁴ Dr. Nimish Rai, ⁵ Naresh Thanduri

^{1,5} Assistant professor, Department of Anatomy, LN Medical College and Research centre, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

² Associate professor, Department of Anatomy, LN Medical College and Research centre, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

³ Associate professor, Department of Community Medicine, LN Medical College and Research centre, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

⁴ Post graduate, Department of Orthopaedics, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

Abstract

This study has been performed to determine the best parameter in metric diagnosis of sexing by using discriminant function analysis on adult human tibia. Upper end of 99 normal, dry, adult human tibia of known sex (63 males and 36 females) were measured by using osteometric board and manual vernier callipers. Mean, standard deviations and t – value was calculated to determine the percentage accuracy. The percentage accuracy of various tibial parameters are – Length of tibia (68%), Total transverse diameter (82%), Transverse diameter of Medial condyle (73%), Transverse diameter of Lateral condyle (70 %), Antero- posterior diameter of Medial condyle (75%), Antero posterior diameter of Lateral condyle (79%) and Antero-posterior diameter of tibia (73%). From the above study, it can be concluded that according to the percentage of accuracy - Total transverse diameter (TTD) and Antero posterior diameter of Lateral condyle (APLC) are considered as best parameters of sex determination.

Keywords: sex determination, human tibia, anthropometry, discriminant functional analysis

1. Introduction

The identification of the living, dead and skeletal remains is of paramount importance in the routine forensic practice ^[1]. Sex estimation is one of the prime factors which is employed to establish the identity of a person ^[2]. The anthropometric study of bones is important to determine the race and also in medico-legal cases for the determination of stature, age and sex ^[3]. Several bones show a marked sexual dimorphism, so they are suitable for sexing skeletons with high accuracy. Among these, differences in pelvic bones are pronounced especially at the ischiatic notch, subpubic angle and general configurations. Skull also offers a marked sexual dimorphism, which also allows accurate sexing of skeletons, although sexing from cranial bones is not as precise as from pelvis ^[4-6].

Unfortunately, in some instances only some bare bones constitute the sole remains of a dead individual, especially when we are dealing with ancient population groups. It is therefore important to develop standards to determine sex from different parts of the post cranial skeleton. The availability of long bones is common and they resist erosive forces and keep anatomical shape for a long time even after being buried. Long bones have been found to be highly dimorphic especially in areas such as head and distal epiphyses of femur, proximal epiphyses of tibia ^[7]. Iscan and Miller Shaivitz have suggested that epiphyseal measurements are more reliable indicators of sex because the functional demands of weight and musculature concentrate on these parts of the bone ^[8].

The tibia is an ideal long bone of the limb which is used for sex determination, as it resists the erosive forces which act and it remains unaffected even after the burial of the body ^[9]. Sexual dimorphism in the tibia indicates not only the general growth and the musculo-skeletal activity, but also the genetic structure of the population ^[10]. Sexual dimorphism of distal epiphyseal breadth of tibia has been studied in different populations ^[11]. Present study was carried out to ascertain sexual dimorphism

of proximal epiphyseal breadth of tibia and develop appropriate standards for sex determination from tibia in central Indian population.

2. Material and Methods

For the present study, 99 adult human dried tibia of known sex (63 males and 36 females) were procured from skeletal collection of Department of Anatomy, LNMC & RC, Bhopal, MP. Damaged bones those with pathological changes as well as which showed fractures were excluded from the study. Instruments that were used in this study are Osteometric board and manual vernier calliper.

A total of 7 tibial dimensions were taken for each specimen. The measurements were repeatedly taken to ensure the accuracy. All the measurements were taken in mm.

1. Length of the tibia (L): This is measured by using osteometric board. Distance from the superior articular surface of the lateral condyle to the tip of medial malleolus. Rest all the parameters are measured by using manual vernier callipers.
2. Total transverse diameter (TTD): Distance between the two most laterally projecting points on the medial and lateral condyles of the proximal particular region of the tibia.
3. Transverse diameter of medial condyle (TDMC): Distance between intercondylar eminences to the medial edge of medial condyle of tibia.
4. Transverse diameter of lateral condyle (TDLC): Distance between intercondylar eminences to the lateral edge of lateral condyle of tibia.
5. Antero – posterior diameter of medial condyle (APMC): Distance between anterior and posterior margins of medial condyle of the tibia.
6. Antero – posterior diameter of lateral condyle (APLC): Distance between anterior and posterior margins of lateral condyle of the tibia.

7. Antero – posterior diameter (APD): A straight line passes exactly through the middle of the medial and lateral tubercle of intercondylar eminence from most anterior to the most posterior point.

2.1 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was done using SPSS 22.0. The two sample t test of significance was used to see difference between the sexes for all measurements. The variables were then subjected to discriminant analysis. Box’s M test was used to see for equivalence of the covariance matrix. Discriminant analysis with univariate analysis was used to calculate Wilk’s λ, significance level of all generated functions and correctly classified percentage for all measured variables. A significance level of 5 % (p < 0.05) was used to look for significant differences between the measurements for the two sexes.

3. Results

A total of 99 bones, 63 males and 36 females were subjected to morphometric analysis. Table 1 shows the mean, standard deviations and t – value for all measurements. The t values for all the measurements were found to be statistically significant for difference between the sexes.

The results of sexual dimorphism based on discriminant functions are also summarised in Table 1. The result indicate that the total transverse diameter is the best measure for sexual differences among all the measured variables. The results show the constant, Wilk’s lambda and correctly classified percentage

for all the variables. Table 2 shows the comparison of present study with other studies.

4. Discussion

The purpose of the study was to determine which variable of the upper end of tibia is best for metric diagnosis of sex. The result obtained are compared with available literature in Table 2.

Length of the tibia (L)

The tibial length in the present study was found to be 388.5mm in males & 367.4 mm in females which was similar to Iscan et.at., whites which is about 386.21 mm in case of males while in case of females it is similar to the study of Iscan et.al Black i.e 371.07mm [14].

Total transverse diameter (TTD)

In present study TTD it is found to be 69.8 mm in males which is almost similar to the Murphy i.e 71.3 mm and the same was found in case of females i.e 62.7 mm. TTD of both males and females in the present study was found to be similar to the study done by Murphy [13].

Transverse diameter of medial condyle (TDMC)

In the present study TDMC was found to be lying in between the study of Kazuhiro Sakaue and Janamala [15, 16] i.e in males 32.1mm and females 30.2mm. No other literature was found in other studies.

Table 1: Descriptive variables and discriminant function of the variables

Variables	Mean		SD		t value	Raw Coefficient	Constant	Wilk “s λ	Correctly classified (%)
	Male (mm)	Female (mm)	Male	Female					
Length	388.5	367.4	29.9	32.4	4.11	0.095	-0.213	0.758	68 %
TTD	69.8	61.3	4.5	4.7	6.93	0.41	0.631	0.407	82%
TDMC	32.1	30.2	4.4	4.5	5.10	0.286	-0.007	0.613	73%
TDLC	32	30.1	4.1	3.9	5.58	0.351	0.539	0.416	70%
APMC	41.8	36.6	3.8	4.0	4.90	0.462	0.426	0.457	75%
APLC	39.6	34.9	4.2	3.7	5.45	0.515	0.167	0.534	79%
APD	42.3	37.5	3.5	3.1	5.44	0.475	0.434	0.457	73%

Values are mean, SD, p < 0.001 highly significant

Table 2: Comparison of means - For different parameters of tibia reported in the literature

Parameter		Gonazalez (12) et al.,	Murphy(13)	Iscan et al., Whites (14)	Iscan et al., Blacks (14)	Kazuhiro Sakaue(15)	Janamala et al. (16)	Present study
L	(M)	369.12	374.9	386.21	405.62	329.05	392.11	388.50
	(F)	334.71	351.0	357.28	371.07	308.18	365.29	367.40
TTD	(M)	77.51	71.3	79.00	79.39	74.45	70.78	69.80
	(F)	66.64	62.7	68.80	69.50	65.80	62.86	61.30
TDMC	(M)	-	-	-	-	31.43	33.92	32.10
	(F)					28.28	31.40	30.20
TDLC	(M)					31.35	33.47	32.00
	(F)					26.52	28.80	30.10
APMC	(M)					46.56	43.11	41.80
	(F)					41.5	39.66	36.60
APLC	(M)					39.86	39.47	39.60
	(F)					35.44	34.71	34.90
APD	(M)							42.3
	(F)							37.5

(L-length of tibia, TTD- Total transverse diameter, TDMC – Transverse diameter of medial condyle, TDLC- Transverse diameter of lateral condyle, APMC - Anteroposterior diameter of medial condyle, APLC - Anteroposterior diameter of lateral condyle, APD – Antero- posterior diameter of tibia.)

Transverse diameter of lateral condyle (TDLC)

The measurements of TDLC in the present study in case of males was in accordance with study of Kazuhiro Sakaue and Janamala^[15, 16] while in case of females it was on higher side. In males it is 32mm and in females it is 30.1 mm.

Antero- posterior diameter of medial condyle (APMC)

Both in case of males and females AP MC is found to be on the lower side i.e 41.8 mm & 36.6 mm when compared with other studies in Table 2.

Antero- posterior diameter of lateral condyle (APLC)

In the present study the APLC both in case of males and females was found to be also similar to the study done by Kazuhiro sakaue and Janamala^[15, 16] i.e 39.6mm and 34.9 mm.

Antero – posterior diameter of tibia (APD)

In the present study, we have measured a new parameter i.e. APD of the upper end of tibia. The values were 42.3 mm in males and 37.5 mm in females. This variable can be used to discriminate sex with 73 % accuracy. No data is available about this parameter being used for sexing the tibia.

The present study supports the conclusion drawn by other authors reported in various literature that total transverse diameter of upper end of tibia was better than other variable of that bone in sexual determination.

The present study depicts that tibial length is the least reliable variable for sexing of tibia.

5. Conclusion

Sex determination cannot be done by using any single variable. Although tibia is not an ideal bone meant for sex determination but it can be used for sex determination with 80 – 85 % of accuracy. According to the % of accuracy Total transverse diameter (TTD) and Antero posterior diameter of Lateral condyle (APLC) are considered as best parameters of sex determination. According to their percentage of accuracy, various parameters are arranged in the order of discrimination of sex from best to least. There order of arrangement are as follows:

TTD > APLC > APMC> TDMC = APD > TDLC > L

6. References

1. Singh G, Singh S, Singh SP. Identification of sex from the tibia. J Anat. Soc. India. 1975; 24(1):243-249.
2. Kirici Y, Ozan H. Determination of sex from the tibia of adult Turkish cadavers. Kaibogakee Zasshi. 1999; 74(5):537-43.
3. Iscan MY, Muller SP. Determination of sex from the tibia. Am. J Phys. Anthropol. 1984; 64(1):53-57.
4. Mays S. The Archaeology of Human Bones. Routledge, London – New York. 1998.
5. Ferembach D, Schwidetzky I, Stloukal M. Recommendation por determiner l' age et le sexe sur le squelette. Edn 6, Bull. Mem. Soc. Anthropol, Paris. 1972; 7:45.
6. Brothwell DR. Digging Up Bones. Trustees of the British Museum, London. 1972.
7. Human skeleton. Forensic Anthropology. 61.
8. Iscan MY, Shaivitz PM. Discriminant function sexing of the tibia. J. Forensic. Sci. 1984; 29:1087-93.

9. Bhat VJ. Age estimation from the root development of the mandibular third molars. Medico-legal update. 2004; 4(4):127-29.
10. Singh S, Gangrade KC. The sexing of adult clavicles-demarcating points for the Varanasi zone. JASI. 1968b; 17:89-100.
11. Singh G *et al.* Identification of sex from tibia in Varanasi region. Journal of anatomical society of India. 1975; 24(1):20-24.
12. Gonzalez–Reimersa E *et al.* Sex determination by discriminant function analysis of the right tibia in the prehispanic population of the canary islands. Forensic Science International. 2000; 108:165-172.
13. Murphy WA, Gantner GE. Radiologic examination of anatomic parts and skeletonised. J Forensic Sci. 1982; 27(1):9-18.
14. Iscan MY, Miller-Shaivitz P. Determination of sex from the femur in blacks and whites. Coll. Antropol. 1984, 169-177.
15. Kazuhiro Sakaue. Sexual determination of long bones in recent Japanese. Anthropological science. 2004; 112:75-81.
16. Janamala RP *et al.* Percentage accuracy of sexing human adult tibia by Discriminant function analysis. Int J Biol Med Res. 2012; 3(2):1739-1742.