



Comparative analysis of safety and efficacy of alcaftadine, olopatadine and bepotastine in vernal conjunctivitis

Dr. Aamina Shah¹, Dr. Samiera Hassan²

¹ MS Ophthalmology, Ophthalmologist Trauma Hospital Pattan, J&K, India

² MS Ophthalmology, Ophthalmologist, District Hospital Budgam, J&K, India

Abstract

Objective: To compare the efficacy and safety of Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.2%, Alfetamine 0.25%, and Bepotastine baseplate 1.5% ophthalmic solutions in the treatment of vernal keratoconjunctivitis.

Methods: This is a prospective, observer-masked, comparative study of 64 patients with mild to moderate vernal conjunctivitis, randomized into three groups of 23, 19 and 22, patients each. Each group was assigned to be treated with one of the three treatment options namely Alfetamine 0.25%, Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.2% and Bepotastine besilate 1.5% ophthalmic solutions. Patients were followed-up at regular intervals with relief and resolution of symptoms and signs noted using Total Ocular Scoring System (TOSS) and hyperemia scale.

Results: All three topical medications were effective in resolving symptoms of the patients with mild to moderate vernal conjunctivitis. Baseline mean TOSS scores for Alfetamine group, Olopatadine group and Bepotastine baseplate group were (7.68±2.32), (7.65±2.32) and (7.45±2.27) respectively as compared to the corresponding TOSS scores on 14th Day (4th visit) which were (0.2 ± 0.43), (0.4 ± 0.56) and (0.1 ± 0.36) respectively. The resolution of symptoms in the Bepotastine and Alfetamine groups was significantly profound as compared to the Olopatadine group ($p = 0.008$). Bepotastine and Alfetamine groups significantly reduced allergic conjunctivitis symptoms compared to Olopatadine group ($p = 0.008$).

Conclusion: All three topical ophthalmic medications used in the study are safe and effective in the treatment of vernal conjunctivitis. However, Bepotastine and Alfetamine appear to outweigh Olopatadine in resolving the symptoms of vernal conjunctivitis.

Keywords: alfetamine, vernal conjunctivitis, biotesting baseplate, hyperemia scale, olopatadine, total ocular symptom score (TOSS)

Introduction

Vernal conjunctivitis is a bilateral, seasonal, external ocular inflammatory disease of unknown cause. Afflicted patients experience intense itching, tearing, photophobia, and mucous discharge, and usually demonstrate large cobblestone papillae on their superior tarsal conjunctiva and limbal conjunctiva. It primarily affects children, may be related to atopy, and has environmental and racial predilections. Although usually self-limited, vernal conjunctivitis can result in potentially blinding corneal complications^[1, 2]. It is predominantly Ig E-mediated Type I hypersensitivity reaction where allergen binds to specific Ig E molecules, triggers mast cell degranulation and subsequent increase in histamine leading to activation of both H₁ and H₂ types of histamine receptors^[3]. Pharmacological treatment of vernal conjunctivitis includes H₁ receptor blockade, mast cell stabilization, and blocking of cytokine production and prostaglandin formation^[4].

Currently, alfetamine 0.25% and Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.2% are approved once-daily and Bepotastine baseplate 1.5%, twice daily dual-acting antiallergic agents for vernal conjunctivitis which includes inhibition of histamine receptor activation directly and reduction of allergic responses by stabilizing mast cells indirectly^[5]. Olopatadine hydrochloride is a selective histamine H₁ receptor antagonist and mast-cell stabilizer. It also has anti-inflammatory effects which include suppression of interleukins (IL) 6 and 8 production by inhibiting histamine related signalling pathways^[1, 5].

Alfetamine is an anti-allergic agent that provides relief from ocular itching by inverse agonistic effects on H₁, H₂ and H₄ receptors in early phase and also stabilizes mast cells by inhibiting release of mediators such as cytokines and lipid mediators in the late phase of an ocular allergic response and decreases chemotaxis, eosinophil activation thereby exerts anti-inflammatory property^[6, 7]. Bepotastine baseplate 1.5% ophthalmic solution is the dual-action agent, which combines strong antihistaminic activity with mast cell-stabilizing properties to provide both rapid and long-lasting relief in vernal conjunctivitis^[8]. Considering the paucity of comparative studies between long-acting antihistamines, Alfetamine 0.25% and Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.2% and Bepotastine baseplate 1.5% in Allergic conjunctivitis with regard to efficacy and safety amongst Indian patients, this study was undertaken.

Methods

The study was an observer-masked, randomized, prospective, parallel-group study conducted in our hospital. Diagnosis of vernal conjunctivitis was made clinically according to the presence of classical signs and symptoms. Total Ocular Symptom Scoring System (TOSS) scoring was used to grade the signs and symptoms. All patients aged between 11 and 28 years belonging to either gender, with mild-to-moderate allergic conjunctivitis [Table 1]^[9] presenting to outpatient department between March 2021 and September 2021 were included after obtaining written informed consent.

Table 1: Classification of vernal conjunctivitis

	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Blinding
Bulbar Conjunctiva	Congestion	Congestion	Thickening and Transat spots	Granulomas
Tarsal Conjunctiva	Micro papillae	Macro (1 mm) papillae	Giant (>1 mm) papillae	Mega Cobblestones
Cornea	-	Micro erosions	Macro-erosions	Shield ulcer
Limbus	-	Focal (<180) degrees inflammation	Diffuse (>180) degrees Inflammation	Limbal deficiency

Patients with severe vernal conjunctivitis, need for topical steroids or topical immunosuppressive, contact lens wearers, patients with an intra-ocular pressure of more than 21 mm Hg in either eye or any type of glaucoma, history of hypersensitivity to the study medications or their components (including benzalkonium chloride), history of an ocular herpetic infection, an active ocular infection, or any significant illness, taking systemic steroids or antihistamines currently or within 7 days prior to enrolment, pregnant, planning pregnancy, or nursing/lactating and use of any other topical ocular medications were excluded from the study. A total of 64 patients with mild or moderate vernal conjunctivitis were randomized into three groups

with an allocation ratio of 1:1:1 using computer-generated random number sequence to receive topical anti-allergic medication for 14 days as follows:

- Group 1: Topical 0.25% alfetamine eyedrops OD
- Group 2: Topical 0.2% Olopatadine eyedrops OD
- Group 3: Topical 1.5% Bepotastine baseplate eyedrops BID.

Complete general, physical, and ophthalmologic examination was done. Patients were examined and their baseline symptoms and signs (TOSS) were recorded. Demographic data, ocular and medical histories, concomitant medications, physical examination, clinical examination, including recording of vital signs, Ophthalmological examination and details of drug prescribed by the treating ophthalmologist were recorded in the study pro forma at baseline visit (visit 1). Follow-up visits were on day 3 (visit 2), day 7 (visit 3) and day 14 (visit 4) after administering the study drugs. A deviation of ±1 a day for the first follow-up and ±2 days for subsequent follow-up was accepted. At each follow-up visit data on concomitant medications, ocular symptoms and ocular signs using hyperaemia score [table 2] ^[9] graded by slit-lamp examination by the investigator and adverse events (AEs) were collected. In case of relapse, the patient was asked to visit OPD on Day 21. Medication compliance was assessed with the help of a medication compliance card.

Table 2: Toss and hyperaemia score grading

Grading of symptoms - TOSS score	
TOSS Score - Grading of symptoms (Itching, tearing, redness and swelling)	
0	Indicating no symptoms
1+	Mild symptoms of discomfort which were just noticeable
2+	Moderate discomfort noticed most of the day but did not interfere with daily activities
3+	Severe symptoms interfering with daily activities
Hyperaemia score - Grading of signs	
0 - No	Normal
0.5 - Trace	Inconsistent rose red hyperaemia
1 - Mild	Reddish color
2 - Moderate	Bright red color
3 - Severe	Bright and intense diffuse hyperaemia

Statistical Analysis

The sample size was calculated at a confidence level of 95%, the sample size determined were subjects in each treatment group. All data were analysed by Microsoft Excel and Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS version 26.0). Continuous variables are presented as mean ± standard deviations (SD's) and the categorical variables as percentages. Comparison of TOSS and adverse effect scores between and within group at different time points (baseline, days 1, 3, 7 and 14) was performed by ANOVA with repeated measure analysis and with Bonferroni corrections.

The value of $p < 0.05$ were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

A total of 72 patients were screened for the study of whom 64 patients with mild or moderate vernal conjunctivitis, who met the required inclusion and exclusion criteria were enrolled in the study. Age, gender, and TOSS and hyperaemia scores were matched at baseline Table 3. Table 2 represents the demographic profile of the patients included in the study. Both the treatment groups were matched with respect to baseline demographic characteristics.

Table 3: Baseline demographic characteristics

	Group A Alcaftadine (n=23)	Group B Olopatadine (n=19)	Group C Bepotastine (n=22)	P
Age (years) (Mean±SD)	28.66±9.12	28.66±9.12	29.01±8.92	0.25
Gender - n (%)				0.28
Male	38 (63.3%)	32 (53.3%)	45 (75%)	
Female	22 (36.7%)	28 (46.7%)	25 (25%)	
Total Ocular Symptom Score (TOSS)	7.68±2.32	7.65±2.32	7.45±2.27	0.8

The four major complaints recorded by patients were itching (64 patients, 100%), redness (45 patients, 70%), tearing (32 patients, 50%), and ropy discharge (15 patients, 23%). The total ocular symptom score (TOSS) showed a consistent decrease in subsequent visit in all the Groups and it was statistically significant, when compared from baseline to 14th day in all the groups ($p = 0.0008$) [Table 5 and Fig. 1].

The difference in mean TOSS between (Group A) alftadine and (Group C) bepotastine treatment groups was observed at the third day of follow-up. This showed early relief of allergic conjunctivitis symptoms by bepotastine (4.8 ± 1.58) compared to Alcaftadine (mean (5.3 ± 1.59)) and olopatadine (5.3 ± 1.58) but this was not statistically significant.

Table 4: Total ocular symptom score at different visits

Variable	Group A Alcaftadine (n=23) Mean (SD)	Group B Olopatadine (n=19) Mean (SD)	Group C Bepotastine (n=22) Mean (SD)	P*
Day 1 (Baseline)	7.6 (2.32)	7.6 (2.32)	7.4 (2.27)	0.8
Day 3	5.3 (1.59)	5.3 (1.58)	4.8 (1.58)	0.13
Day 7	2.3 (1.04)	2.4 (0.91)	2.2 (1.04)	0.33
Day 14	0.2 (0.43)	0.4 (0.56)	0.1 (0.36)	0.0008

Open in a separate window
One-way ANOVA for significance




Fig 1: Graphical plot of total ocular symptom score (TOSS) at different follow-up

Total ocular symptom score at 14th-day visit with *post hoc* Tukey HSD test showed mean of Alcaftadine group vs mean of olopatadine group – $p < 0.05$, mean of olopatadine group vs mean of bepotastine group – $p < 0.01$, which were statistically significant whereas mean of Alcaftadine group vs mean of bepotastine group showed nonsignificant difference. Alcaftadine was found to be better than olopatadine in reducing the vernal conjunctivitis symptoms using TOSS score at 14th-day visit ($p < 0.5$). Although there is no significant difference between bepotastine and Alcaftadine groups, bepotastine showed a better reduction of symptoms compared to Olopatadine group using TOSS score at 14th-day visit ($p < 0.1$). Conjunctival hyperaemia

had reduced in all the treatment groups but there was a significant reduction in Alcaftadine and Bepotastine treatment groups at 14th day compared to olopatadine group ($p = 0.0037$, ANOVA—post hoc Tukey's analysis) [Table 5 and Fig. 2]. No systemic or ocular serious adverse events were reported.

Most common adverse events were burning sensation [3] in Alcaftadine group and taste impairment [3] in bepotastine group, followed by headache [2] in Alcaftadine group, dizziness [2] in olopatadine and mild redness [2] in bepotastine group were noted [Fig. 3]. No significant difference in the number of adverse events was noted among the three groups.

Table 5: Conjunctival hyperaemia score at different visits

Variable	Group A Alcaftadine (n=23) Mean (SD)	Group B Olopatadine (n=19) Mean (SD)	Group C Bepotastine (n=22) Mean (SD)	P*
Day 1 (Baseline)	1.3 (0.88)	1.4 (0.89)	1.4 (0.83)	0.7
Day 3	0.8 (0.60)	0.8 (0.60)	0.8 (0.57)	0.9
Day 7	0.3 (0.28)	0.3 (0.28)	0.3 (0.28)	0.8
Day 14	0.008 (0.06)	0.05 (0.15)	0.008 (0.06)	0.0037

*One-way ANOVA for significance




Fig 2: Graphical plot of hyperaemia degree at different visits




Fig 3: Adverse drug reactions of treatment groups

Discussion

Vernal conjunctivitis is a commonly encountered pathology in clinical practice, with an increase in the number of patients noticed in the last decade with a prevalence of approximately 1.5 to 3% of the population globally. Avoidance of allergens plays a key role in the prevention of allergic conjunctivitis. Addition of anti-histamine reduces inflammation, whereas mast cell stabilizers prevent mast cell degranulation on an exposure to allergens. Topical corticosteroids are the most potent agents to control inflammatory symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis but there is a risk of many side-effects.

Newer topical agents have both anti-histamine and mast cell stabilization action. Their use can control acute symptoms and prevent relapses [10]. This study is a double-blinded, observer masked, randomized study directly comparing the efficacy of three topical anti-allergic medications, that is,

Alcaftadine, olopatadine, and bepotastine in mild-to-moderate vernal conjunctivitis. These topical agents are FDA approved for use in allergic conjunctivitis, but trials compared these three medications are limited.

A comparative study done by Dudeja I, *et al.* concluded Alcaftadine 0.25%, olopatadine 0.2%, and bepotastine 1.5% eye drops have been proved to be safe and well-tolerated topical medication for allergic conjunctivitis [9]. This study resounded the same, and the medications were found to be safe, with minimal transient side effects of burning sensation and taste impairment noticed by a few patients (more in group 1 and group 3, respectively). Most patients responded to treatment and were willing to continue the eye drop, if indicated.

The efficacy of these anti-allergic medications over placebo has been proven in a study conducted by Donshik *et al.* All three medications showed significant relief in symptoms of

redness and itching, which was proved statistically [11]. This study showed that all three study medications provide significant relief in symptoms from baseline to 14 days.

A study done by Ackerman S, *et al.* compared 0.25% Alfetamine and 0.2% olopatadine using conjunctival allergen challenge found Alfetamine superior to olopatadine at the earliest time point (3 min post-challenge). Alfetamine showed significant relief in chemosis at 16 and 24 h post-instillation [3]. Another study done by McLaurin EB, *et al.*, with 284 subjects found that subjects treated with Alfetamine had a lower overall mean itch score of 3, 5, and 7 min than those treated with olopatadine [5]. This study results also showed alfetamine is better in reducing the Allergic conjunctivitis symptoms compared to Olopatadine at 14th day, which is statistically significant ($p = 0.0008$).

A comparative study done by McCabe *et al.* showed Bepotastine provided better relief of ocular allergy symptoms and monocular symptoms associated with Allergic conjunctivitis, that is, runny nose compared to olopatadine. The study also found that a higher percentage of patients preferred biotesting over olopatadine for treatment [8]. The current study indicates a greater significant relief of Allergic conjunctivitis symptoms with Bepotastine besilate than olopatadine group at 14th day, which is statistically significant ($p = 0.0008$).

Trials have been conducted at a cellular level, animals treated with Olopatadine and Alcaftadine showed similar efficacy and safety profiles. One such study done by Ono SJ, *et al.* found a decrease in expression of the junctional protein, ZO-1, which is caused by allergen challenge with Alcaftadine compared to olopatadine. In addition, Alcaftadine showed significantly lower conjunctival eosinophil infiltration caused by allergen challenge in animal studies [12].

Clinical trials, thus, have proved the efficacy of all three medications for relief of symptoms of allergic conjunctivitis and found differences between medications in one or the other parameter. In our study, all three medications are effective in control of allergy symptoms with bepotastine group and Alcaftadine groups showing statistical significance as compared to olopatadine group in alleviating the allergic conjunctivitis symptoms.

Strengths

Three medications with standard doses were compared with an adequate sample size in a single randomized study. Randomization, blinding of the patients and evaluation of the effect of the study medications on clinical assessment of signs and symptoms provided an evidence-based option which was safe and effective.

Limitations

Since our study was conducted in a single Centre, the results cannot be compared with studies conducted in multicentered large subset study populations. Comparison of efficacy and safety of study medications could not be studied in patients with Severe vernal Conjunctivitis as they were excluded from the study.

Conclusion

Newer antiallergic medications with combined anti-histamine and mast cell stabilization action can help reducing the use of topical steroids for a milder form of disease. All three study medications are safe and effective topical treatment modality for vernal conjunctivitis, whereas Bepotastine besilate and Alcaftadine groups appear to be

better than the olopatadine group in reducing symptoms of vernal Conjunctivitis. Conjunctival hyperaemia had reduced in all the treatment groups but there was a significant reduction in Alcaftadine and bepotastine treatment groups at the final visit compared to the olopatadine group.

Financial support and sponsorship: Nil.

Conflicts of interest: There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Jun J, Bielory L, Raizman MB. Vernal conjunctivitis. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am*,2008;28(1):59-82.vi. doi: 10.1016/j.iac.2007.12.007. PMID: 18282546.
2. Chigbu DI, Coyne AM. Update and clinical utility of Alcaftadine ophthalmic solution 0.25% in the treatment of allergic conjunctivitis. ,2015;9:1215-25. *ClinOphthalmol* [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
3. Ackerman S, D'Ambrosio F, Greiner JV, Villanueva L, Ciolino JB, Hollander DA. multicenter evaluation of the efficacy and duration of action of Alcaftadine 0.25% and olopatadine 0.2% in the conjunctival allergen challenge model,2013;6:452. *J Asthma Allergy* [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
4. Borazan M, Karalezli A, Akova YA, Akman A, Kiyici H, Erbek SS. Efficacy of olopatadine HCl 0.1%, ketotifen fumarate 0.025%, epinastine HCl 0.05%, emedastine 0.05% and fluorometholone acetate 0.1% ophthalmic solutions for seasonal allergic conjunctivitis: A placebo-controlled environmental trial. *ActaOphthalmol*,2009;87:549-54. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
5. McLaurin EB, Marisco NP, Ackerman SL, Ciolono JB, Williams JM, Villanueva L *et al.* Ocular itch relief with Alcaftadine 0.25% versus olopatadine 0.2% in allergic conjunctivitis: Pooled analysis of two multicentre randomized clinical trials. *Adv Ther*,2014;31:1059-71. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
6. Gallois-Bernos AC, Thurmond RL. Alcaftadine, a new antihistamine with combined antagonistic activity at histamine H1, H2 and H4 receptors. *J Receptor Ligand Channel Res*,2012;5:9-20. [Google Scholar]
7. Meena MK, Gupta V. Alcaftadine 0.25% versus olopatadine 0.2% in allergic conjunctivitis. *Int J Sci Res*,2018;7:53-5. [Google Scholar]
8. McCabe CF, McCabe SE. Comparative efficacy of Bepotastine besilate 1.5% ophthalmic solution versus Olopatadine hydrochloride 0.2% ophthalmic solution evaluated by patient preference. *Clin Ophthalmol*,2012;6:1731-8. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
9. Dudeja L, Janakiraman A, Dudeja I, Sane K, Babu M. Observer-masked trial comparing efficacy of topical olopatadine (0.1%), bepotastine (1.5%), and Alcaftadine (0.25%) in mild to moderate allergic conjunctivitis. *Indian J Ophthalmol*,2019;67:1400-4. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
10. Mishra GP, Tamboli V, Jawla J, Mitra AK. Recent patents and emerging therapeutics in the treatment of allergic conjunctivitis. *Recent Pat Inflamm Allergy Drug Discov*,2011;5:26-36. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

11. Donshik PC, Pearlman D, Pinnas J, Raizman MB, Tauber J, Tinkelman D *et al.* Efficacy and safety of ketorolac tromethamine 0.5% and levocabastine 0.05%: A multicentre comparison in patients with seasonal allergic conjunctivitis. *Adv Ther*,2000;17:94-102. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
12. Ono SJ, Lane K. Comparison of effects of Alcaftadine and olopatadine on conjunctival epithelium and eosinophil recruitment in a murine model of allergic conjunctivitis. *Drug Des Devel Ther*,2011;5:77-84. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]